arXiv:quant-ph/0303146v1 24 Mar 2003

# Coherent States with SU(N) Charges

**Manu Mathur** [1] and **Samir K. Paul**[2]

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences
JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Calcutta 700 098, India

## Abstract

We define coherent states carrying SU(N) charges by exploiting generalized Schwinger boson representation of SU(N) Lie algebra. These coherent states are defined on $2\,(2^{N-1}-1)$ complex planes. They satisfy continuity property and provide resolution of identity. We also exploit this technique to construct the corresponding non-linear SU(N) coherent states.

PACS: 02.20.-a

# 1 Introduction

The simplest coherent states are associated with the Heisenberg-Weyl group with the Lie algebra involving a harmonic oscillator creation-annihilation operators $(a^\dagger, a)$ obeying:

$$[a, a^\dagger] = \mathcal{I}. \tag{1}$$

In (1), $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator. The coherent states belonging to the Heisenberg-Weyl group are defined as [1, 2, 3, 4]:

$$|z\rangle_\infty \equiv exp(za^\dagger)|0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n> \tag{2}$$

The subscript $\infty$ in (2) denotes that the coherent states belong to the infinite dimensional representation of the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra (1). The manifold corresponding to the Heisenberg-Weyl group is the complex z plane and the corresponding coherent states (2) are continuous functions of z. Further, they are eigenstates of the annihilation operator:

$$a|z\rangle_\infty = z\ |z\rangle_\infty. \tag{3}$$

It is also easy to verify that $|z\rangle_\infty$ satisfy the resolution of identity:

$$\int d\mu(z)|z\rangle_\infty\ {}_\infty\langle z| \equiv \mathcal{I}. \tag{4}$$

---

[1] manu@bose.res.in
[2] smr@bose.res.in

In (4), $d\mu(z) \equiv exp\{-(|z|)^2\}$ is the measure over the group manifold. The coherent states in (2) can be generalized to define non-linear coherent states by demanding:

$$f(N)\ a|z,f\rangle_\infty = z\ |z,f\rangle_\infty \tag{5}$$

where $f(\hat{N})$ is an operator valued function of the number operator $\hat{N} = a^\dagger a$. An explicit expression for $|z,f\rangle_\infty$ is given by:

$$|z,f\rangle_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{\sqrt{n!}}\ z^n\ |n> \tag{6}$$

where, c(0) = 1, and $c(n) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}[f(k)]^{-1}$ if $n \geq 1$. The expansion in (6) can be summed over to get an exponential form:

$$|z,f\rangle_\infty = exp\Big(z\hat{H}_f(\hat{N})a^\dagger\Big)\ |0>, \tag{7}$$

where $\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}) \equiv \frac{1}{f(\hat{N}-1)}$. Note that $|z, f=1\rangle_\infty = |z\rangle_\infty$.

In this paper, we generalize the above simple ideas associated with the Heisenberg-Weyl group to SU(N) group. For this we need to generalize the Schwinger boson representation of SU(2) Lie algebra with a doublet of harmonic oscillators [5] to SU(N) group. This representation of the SU(N) Lie algebra in terms of certain number of harmonic oscillators will help us define coherent states which are characterized by (N-1) charges. These (N - 1) charges are the eigenvalues of the (N-1) generators belonging to the Cartan subspace of SU(N). The coherent states with the above property will be called fixed charge coherent states or more explicitly, coherent states with SU(N) charges. The fixed charge coherent states also satisfy certain eigenstate conditions which are similar to (3) and thus are very different from the 'generalized coherent states' defined by Perelomov [6]. More specifically, while the previous ones, by definition, are the eigenstates of (N - 1) diagonal generators belonging to the Cartan subspace of SU(N), the generalized SU(N) coherent states are eigenstates of the (N - 1) Casimirs of SU(N), i.e, they are defined within various irreducible representations of SU(N). Further, the fixed charge coherent states are defined on complex planes while the later are defined on the SU(N) group manifolds which are compact. Infact, this work corresponds to a simple generalization and a co-variant formulation of the work done in [7] for SU(2) group to SU(N) group for arbitrary N. The techniques using the generalized Schwinger representation of SU(N) Lie algebra [8, 9] developed in this paper also lead to a unified formulation of the above two types of coherent states. This will be clear as we proceed. We further generalize this construction of fixed charge coherent states to define non-linear fixed charge SU(N) coherent states. This corresponds to generalizing the well studied non-linear coherent states in (7) of the Heisenberg-Weyl group [10, 11, 12, 13] to SU(N) group. At this stage we should mention that the work in [14] deals with SU(3) charged coherent states and many ideas of this paper are borrowed and generalized to SU(N) from there. However, the work [14] is not complete as it involves only symmetric representations of SU(3) and therefore does not reveal the much richer contents of the SU(3) charged coherent states (section 3).

The plan of the paper is as follows: In section 2 , we deal with the SU(2) group and define SU(2) charge as well as spin or generalized SU(2) coherent states using the Schwinger boson representation. This formulation will put the above two types of coherent states on similar footing. We then deal with SU(2) non-linear charge coherent states in section 2.1 . The section 2 contains some of the results of [7] in a co-variant language which is necessary for it's generalization to SU(N) groups. It, therefore, provides a platform to understand the SU(N) ($N \geq 3$) techniques of section 3 and section 4 within the much simpler SU(2) framework. The section 3 deals with SU(3) group and defines SU(3) charge coherent states and their non-linear analogs. This section is required for the continuity before going to SU(N) for arbitrary N as SU(2) group is too simple. More explicitly, the SU(N) groups for $N \geq 3$ has more than one fundamental representations which requires a much more careful analysis. This will be emphasized in section 3 and section 4. Thus, in section 3 we find many features/results for SU(3) which were redundant/absent for SU(2) group. Finally, in section 4 we straight-away generalize the contents of section 3 to SU(N) for arbitrary N.

## 2 Coherent States with SU(2) Charge

We start this section with the standard definition of generalized SU(2) coherent states [6]. We then introduce Schwinger bosons [5] to construct SU(2) Lie algebra and exploit it to give an easier formulation to construct the above coherent states. This formulation with some simple changes leads to fixed charge SU(2) coherent states. The SU(2) group involves 3 angular momentum generators, $J_1, J_2$ and $J_3$ and the Lie algebra is:

$$[J^a, J^b] = i\ \epsilon_{abc}\ J^c, \quad a, b, c = 1, 2, 3. \tag{8}$$

The SU(2) Casimir is $\vec{J}.\vec{J}$ with eigenvalue j(j+1) where j is half integer spin. To construct the SU(2) spin coherent states, we define the group element U using the three Euler angles, i.e., $U(\theta, \phi, \psi) \equiv \exp(i\phi J_3) \exp(i\theta J_2) \exp(i\psi J_3)$. Further, in the spin j representation, we use the highest weight $|j, j\rangle$ as the chosen representation state vector. The SU(2) coherent states are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta, \phi, \psi) >_j &= U(\theta, \phi, \psi)\ |j, j > \ , \\ &= exp(i\ j\psi) \sum_{m=-j}^{+j} C_m(\theta, \phi)\ |j, m > \ , \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In (9),

$$C_m(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2j!}{(j+m)!(j-m)!}}\ exp(im\phi)\ \Big(sin\frac{\theta}{2}\Big)^{j-m}\ \Big(cos\frac{\theta}{2}\Big)^{j+m} \tag{10}$$

The above construction is simple. However, for larger Lie group and in particular for SU(N) $N \geq 3$, it becomes extremely difficult to compute the expansion coefficients analogous to $C_m(\theta,\phi)$. This problem is completely evaded by another equivalent formulation which exploits the Schwinger boson representation of the corresponding Lie algebra [8, 9]. Further, this method like Heisenberg construction of section 1, involves harmonic oscillator creation anihilation operators and resembles (2). We will illustrate this procedure for SU(2) in this section before going to SU(N) for arbitrary N.

The algebra in (8) can be realized in terms of a doublet of harmonic oscillator creation and annihilation operators $\vec{a} \equiv (a_1, a_2)$ and $\vec{a}^\dagger \equiv (a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger)$ respectively [5]. The number operators are $\hat{N}_1 \equiv a_1^\dagger a_1$ and $\hat{N}_2 \equiv a_2^\dagger a_2$. They satisfy the bosonic commutation relations:

$$[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad i,j = 1,2. \tag{11}$$

The vacuum state is $|0,0>$ and an arbitrary state in the number operator basis is written as $|n_1,n_2>$. It satisfies:

$$\hat{N}_1 \; |n_1,n_2>= n_1 \; |n_1,n_2>, \quad \hat{N}_2 \; |n_1,n_2>= n_2 \; |n_1,n_2> . \tag{12}$$

We can now define the angular momentum operators in (8) as:

$$J^a \; \equiv \frac{1}{2} \; a_i^\dagger \; (\sigma^a)_{ij} \; a_j \; , \tag{13}$$

where $\sigma^a$ denote the Pauli matrices. It is easy to check that the operators in (13) satisfy (8). Further, as they involve one creation and one annihilation operator, the Casimir ($\mathcal{C}$) is:

$$\mathcal{C} = \hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2. \tag{14}$$

One can also explicitly check that $\vec{J}\cdot\vec{J} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}+2) = \frac{1}{4}\vec{a}^\dagger\cdot\vec{a}(\vec{a}^\dagger\cdot\vec{a}+2)$. Thus the representations of $SU(2)$ can be characterized by the eigenvalues of the total occupation number operator and the spin value $j$ is equal to $n/2 \equiv (n_1+n_2)/2$. With the SU(2) Schwinger representation (13), we can directly generalize (2) to SU(2)[3]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{z}>_{n=2j} &= \delta_{[{a_1}^\dagger a_1 + {a_2}^\dagger a_2, n]} \; \exp\left(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{a}^\dagger\right) \; |0,0> \\ &= n! \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{z_1^{(n-r)} \quad z_2^r}{\sqrt{(n-r)! \; r!}} \; |n-r, r> \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In (15), the delta function is the spin projection operator and it projects out all states with fixed spin value. $(z_1, z_2)$ is a doublet of complex numbers and satisfy the constraint

---

[3] A similar construction using Holstein Primakov representation of SU(2) Lie algebra was given in [15]. We find that (15) is simpler and easily generalizable to SU(N).

$|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2=1$. Thus the coherent states in (15) are defined over the hyper-sphere $S^3$. By construction, the coherent states in (15) satisfy:

$$\mathcal{C}|\vec{z}>_n = n\ |\vec{z}>_n\ . \tag{16}$$

The equivalence of (9) and (15) is trivially shown by considering the coherent states in the simplest $j=1/2$ or equivalently n=1 representation and we get:

$$z_1 \equiv e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}cos\frac{\theta}{2}, \quad z_2 \equiv e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}}sin\frac{\theta}{2}. \tag{17}$$

Substituting the values (17), the Schwinger construction (15) reduces to the standard construction (9) given by Perelomov [6]. The resolution of identity follows because under the SU(2) group transformation operator $\hat{U} \equiv expi\sum_{a=1}^{3}\alpha^a J^a$, $(z_1, z_2)$ rotates as a doublet, i.e,:

$$z_i \rightarrow (exp\frac{i}{2}\alpha^a\sigma^a)_{ij}z_j. \tag{18}$$

Therefore, the operator,

$$\mathcal{I}_n \equiv \int_{SU(2)} d\mu(\vec{z})|\vec{z}>_{n\ n}<\vec{z}|, \tag{19}$$

with $\int_{SU(2)}\ d\mu(\vec{z}) \equiv \int d^2\vec{z}\ \delta(|\vec{z}|^2-1)$, remains invariant under SU(2) and therefore, by Shur's lemma, must be proportional to the identity operator. The above simple procedure using Schwinger bosons to construct SU(2) coherent states with fixed value of the Casimir was generalized to SU(N) in [8, 9]. At this stage, we also have the possibility of defining SU(2) coherent states which are eigenstates of the third component of the angular momentum [7] instead of the spin operator. We define the SU(2) charge operator $\mathcal{Q}$ to be twice of the third component of the angular momentum, i.e,

$$\mathcal{Q} = a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2. \tag{20}$$

We further note that:

$$[\mathcal{Q}, a_1a_2] = 0 \tag{21}$$

Thus the complete set of commuting operators for SU(2) is $(\mathcal{Q}, a_1a_2)$. The SU(2) fixed charge (q) coherent states are obtained from (15) simply by replacing the spin projection operator by fixed charge projection operator, i.e,:

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1, z_2>_q &= \delta_{[a_1{}^\dagger a_1 - a_2{}^\dagger a_2, q]}\ \exp\left(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{a}^\dagger\right)\ |0,0> \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z_1^{(q+n)}\quad z_2^n}{\sqrt{(q+n)!\ n!}}\ \ |q+n, n> \\ &= \frac{z_1{}^q}{\sqrt{q!}}\ exp\ \left(z_1z_2\ \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1}\ a_1{}^\dagger a_2{}^\dagger\right)|q,0> \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Fixed charge coherent states in (22) satisfy:

$$\mathcal{Q}|z_1, z_2>_q \;\; = \;\; q\;|z_1, z_2>_q \tag{23}$$

Thus, the equation (23) for fixed charge replaces the equation (16) for the fixed spin coherent states. The two types of coherent states defined in (15) and (22) are very different in their structures. The projection operator (20), unlike Casimir projection operator (14), does not commute with the SU(2) generators. Therefore, $|z_1, z_2>_j$ are the vectors in the $j^{th}$ irreducible representation of SU(2) while $|z_1, z_2>_q$ are the vectors in the infinite dimensional Hilbert space of the two harmonic oscillators. Further, $|z_1, z_2>_q$ are the eigenstates of the destruction operators:

$$a_1a_2|z_1, z_2>_q \;\; = \;\; z_1z_2|z_1, z_2>_q \tag{24}$$

and are defined on $C^2$ to satisfy resolution of identity. In this sense, (22) are closer to (2). To prove the resolution of identity, we start with the trivial identity operator in the direct product Hilbert space of two Heisenberg-Weyl coherent states (2) characterized by $z_1$ and $z_2$ , i.e,:

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{\infty}\int d\mu(z_1)\int d\mu(z_2)\frac{z_1^{n_1}\;\;z_2^{n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1!\;\;n_2!}}\;\;\frac{\bar{z}_1^{m_1}\;\;\bar{z}_2^{m_2}}{\sqrt{m_1!\;\;m_2!}}\;\;|n_1>|n_2><m_1|<m_2| \tag{25}$$

In (25), we insert the following two trivial identities:

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\delta(n_1-n_2-q)=1 \qquad \sum_{q'=0}^{\infty}\delta(m_1-m_2-q')=1 \tag{26}$$

and rearrange the summations over $(n_1, n_2)$ and $(m_1, m_2)$ in terms of q and $q'$. The two angular integrations over the phases of $z_1$ and $z_2$ lead to:

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\int d\mu(z_1)\int d\mu(z_2)|z_1, z_2>_q\,{}_q<z_1, z_2| \tag{27}$$

## 2.1 Nonlinear Formulation

Motivated by the non-linear formulation of the Heisenberg Weyl coherent state in (5), we can define the non-linear SU(2) coherent states as:

$$f(\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_2)a_1a_2|z_1, z_2; f>_q \equiv z_1z_2|z_1, z_2; f>_q \tag{28}$$

We expand $|z_1, z_2; f>_q$:

$$|z_1, z_2; f>_q \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}C_n(z_1, z_2; f)\;|q+n, n> \tag{29}$$

The equation (28) implies:

$$C_n(z_1, z_2; f) = \sqrt{\frac{q\ !}{(n+q)!\ n!}}\ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_1\ z_2}{f(n+q-k, n-k)}\ C_0(z_1, z_2; f) \tag{30}$$

We would like to put (29) in the exponential form analogous to (7). For this we substitute (30) in (29) and after rearranging terms we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1, z_2; f>_q &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q\ !}{(n+q)!\ n!} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2} z_i\ a_i^{\dagger}\Big)^n\ \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{f(\hat{N}_1 + n - l, \hat{N}_2 + n - l)}\ |q, 0> \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2} z_i\ a_i^{\dagger}\Big)^n \Big[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1 + k, \hat{N}_2 + k)\Big]\ |q, 0> \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where,

$$\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1 + k, \hat{N}_2 + k) \equiv \frac{1}{(\hat{N}_1 + k)} \frac{1}{f(\hat{N}_1 - 1 + k, \hat{N}_2 - 1 + k)}. \tag{32}$$

Now using the operator identity:

$$\Big(a_1^{\dagger} a_2^{\dagger}\Big)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} \hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1 + k, \hat{N}_2 + k) = \Big[\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_2) a_1^{\dagger} a_2^{\dagger}\Big]^n, \tag{33}$$

we finally get:

$$|z_1, z_2; f>_q = C_0\ exp\ \Big[z_1 z_2\ \hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_2)\ a_1^{\dagger} a_2^{\dagger}\Big]\ |q, 0> \tag{34}$$

Using the condition: $|z_1, z_2; f = 1>_q = |z_1, z_2>_q$, we get $C_0 = \frac{z_1^q}{\sqrt{q!}}$. We note that the structure of SU(2) coherent states $|z_1, z_2; f>_q$ in (34) is same as that of the Heisenberg Weyl coherent state $|z, f\rangle_\infty$ in (7).

We thus see in the above sections that the Schwinger boson algebra associated with SU(2) group is extremely useful and convenient to define SU(2) coherent states with fixed spin (15) as with fixed charges (22). Further, one can trivially generalize the above construction to define fixed charge nonlinear coherent states. At this stage, it should be emphasized that for fixed charge linear and non-linear coherent states, the Schwinger boson construction presented here is most natural. This is because it involves the operators $a_1, a_2$, e.g the equations (24) and (28), which are like 'square roots' of the angular momentum operators. Further, it is not obvious how a simple modification of the standard construction (9) can lead to fixed charge coherent states. In the next two section we will generalize these ideas to SU(N) group and construct SU(N) coherent states with fixed (N - 1) charges.

## 3 Coherent States with SU(3) Charges

The group SU(3) is of rank two and therefore it has two fundamental representations transforming like triplet and anti-triplet. We, therefore, define two triplets of harmonic oscillator creation and annihilation operators $(a_i, b_i)$, i=1,2,3, satisfying [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = &\ \ \delta_{ij}\ , \qquad & [b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}\ , \\ [a_i, b_j] = &\ \ 0\ , \qquad & [a_i, b_j^\dagger] = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We will denote these two triplets by $(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ and the two number operators by $\hat{N}_i$ and $\hat{M}_i$ respectively; $\hat{N}_i \equiv {a_i}^\dagger a_i, \hat{M}_i \equiv {b_i}^\dagger b_i$. Similarly, the vacuum state can be labelled by $|\vec{0}_a, \vec{0}_b >$. Henceforth, we will ignore the subscripts $a, b$ and will denote the vacuum state by $|\vec{0}, \vec{0} >$ or more explicitly by $|{}^{0,\ 0,\ 0}_{0,\ 0,\ 0}\rangle$. Let $\frac{\lambda^a}{2}$, $a = 1, 2, ..., 8$ be the generators of $SU(3)$ in the fundamental representation; they satisfy the $SU(3)$ Lie algebra $[\frac{\lambda^a}{2}, \frac{\lambda^b}{2}] = if^{abc}\frac{\lambda^c}{2}$. Let us define the following operators

$$Q^a = \frac{1}{2}\Big(a^\dagger \lambda^a a - b^\dagger \lambda^{*a} b\Big)\ , \tag{36}$$

where $a^\dagger \lambda^a a \equiv a_i^\dagger \lambda^a_{ij} a_j$, and $b^\dagger \lambda^{*a} b \equiv b_i^\dagger \lambda^{*a}_{ij} b_j$. To be explicit,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^3 &= \frac{1}{2}\,(a_1^\dagger a_1 \ - \ a_2^\dagger a_2 \ - \ b_1^\dagger b_1 \ + \ b_2^\dagger b_2)\ , \\ Q^8 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,(a_1^\dagger a_1 \ + \ a_2^\dagger a_2 \ - \ 2a_3^\dagger a_3 \ - \ b_1^\dagger b_1 \ - \ b_2^\dagger b_2 \ + \ 2b_3^\dagger b_3)\ , \\ Q^1 &= \frac{1}{2}\,(a_1^\dagger a_2 \ + \ a_2^\dagger a_1 \ - \ b_1^\dagger b_2 \ - \ b_2^\dagger b_1)\ , \\ Q^2 &= -\frac{i}{2}\,(a_1^\dagger a_2 \ - \ a_2^\dagger a_1 \ + \ b_1^\dagger b_2 \ - \ b_2^\dagger b_1)\ , \\ Q^4 &= \frac{1}{2}\,(a_1^\dagger a_3 \ + \ a_3^\dagger a_1 \ - \ b_1^\dagger b_3 \ - \ b_3^\dagger b_1)\ , \\ Q^5 &= -\frac{i}{2}\,(a_1^\dagger a_3 \ - \ a_3^\dagger a_1 \ + \ b_1^\dagger b_3 \ - \ b_3^\dagger b_1)\ , \\ Q^6 &= \frac{1}{2}\,(a_2^\dagger a_3 \ + \ a_3^\dagger a_2 \ - \ b_2^\dagger b_3 \ - \ b_3^\dagger b_2)\ , \\ Q^7 &= -\frac{i}{2}\,(a_2^\dagger a_3 \ - \ a_3^\dagger a_2 \ + \ b_2^\dagger b_3 \ - \ b_3^\dagger b_2)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

It can be checked that these operators satisfy the $SU(3)$ algebra amongst themselves, i.e., $[Q^a, Q^b] = if^{abc}Q^c$. The two Casimirs of SU(3) are the two types of total number operators, i.e,:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2 + \hat{N}_3, \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \hat{M}_1 + \hat{M}_2 + \hat{M}_3. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This can be seen simply by looking at the SU(3) generators in (37). They always involve one creation and one destruction operators of each type and hence both the operators in (38) commute with all the SU(3) generators. The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ will be denoted by n and m respectively. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} [Q^a, a_i^\dagger] &= \lambda^a_{ji} a_j^\dagger\ , \qquad & [Q^a, b_i^\dagger] &= -\lambda^{*a}_{ji} b_j^\dagger\ , \\ [Q^a, a^\dagger \cdot a] &= \ 0 \quad , \qquad & [Q^a, b^\dagger \cdot b] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

From Eqs. (39), it is clear that the three states $a_i^\dagger|\vec{0},\vec{0}>$ with $(n=1, m=0)$ and $b_i^\dagger|\vec{0},\vec{0}>$ with $(n=0, m=1)$ transform respectively as the fundamental representation 3 and its conjugate $\bar{3}$ representation. By taking the direct product of $N$ $\vec{a}^\dagger$'s and M $\vec{b}^\dagger$'s and subtracting the traces we can form any of the higher irreducible representations of SU(3) [8]. We can now define the SU(3) coherent states with fixed values of Casimirs (or generalized coherent states) as:

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)} \;\equiv\; \delta_{(\mathcal{C}_1,n)}\delta_{(\mathcal{C}_2,m)}\exp\;(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{a}^\dagger \;+\; \vec{w}\cdot\vec{b}^\dagger)|\vec{0},\vec{0}> \tag{40}$$

The coherent states in (40) are straight forward generalization of the corresponding SU(2) coherent states in (15). The two delta function constraints mean:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_1\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)} &= n\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)}\\ \mathcal{C}_2\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)} &= m\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)}\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The complex vectors $(\vec{z},\vec{w})$ in (40) are the sets of two orthonormal triplets [8] describing the SU(3) manifold. They satisfy:

$$|\vec{z}|^2 = |\vec{w}|^2 = 1, \quad \vec{z}.\vec{w} = 0. \tag{42}$$

The resolution of identity follows from the fact that under SU(3) transformations $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}$ transform like triplet and anti-triplet respectively. Therefore, the operator:

$$\mathcal{I}_{(n,m)} \equiv \int_{SU(3)} d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w})\;\; |\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(n,m)}\;\;{}_{(n,m)}<\vec{z},\vec{w}|, \tag{43}$$

with $\int_{SU(3)} d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w}) \equiv \int d^3\vec{z}\; d^3\vec{w}\delta(|\vec{z}|^2-1)\delta(|\vec{w}|^2-1)\delta(\vec{z}.\vec{w})$, is an SU(3) invariant. Thus $\mathcal{I}_{(n,m)}$ in (43) commutes with all the SU(3) generators in (37) and hence it is proportional to the identity operator for all possible values of (n,m). On the other hand, the SU(3) Lie algebra involves two diagonal generators and therefore we can define two mutually commuting charge operators:

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 \equiv 2\;Q^3, \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 \equiv 2\sqrt{3}Q^8 \tag{44}$$

It is easy to see that the complete set of commuting operators (CSCO) is given by the set[4]: $[\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, a_1a_2a_3, b_1b_2b_3, a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3]$. We now define the coherent states with fixed SU(3) charges as:

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(q_1,q_2)} \;\equiv\; \delta_{(\mathcal{Q}_1,q_1)}\delta_{(\mathcal{Q}_2,q_2)}\exp\;(\vec{z}\cdot\vec{a}^\dagger \;+\; \vec{w}\cdot\vec{b}^\dagger)|\vec{0},\vec{0}> \tag{45}$$

In (45), the two $\delta$ function constraints imply that the states on the left satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_1|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= q_1\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}\\ \mathcal{Q}_2|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= q_2\;|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

---

[4] Note that the formulation in [14] corresponds to ignoring $b_1, b_2$ and $b_3$ or equivalently putting $M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = 0$.

The equations (45) and (46) are the SU(3) analogs of the similar equations (22) and (23) in the case of SU(2) respectively. In terms of the occupation number states characterized by $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ and $(m_1, m_2, m_3)$, the eigenvalue equations (46),

$$\mathcal{Q}_a|^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\rangle = q_a\ |^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\rangle, \quad a = 1,2 \tag{47}$$

can be easily solved for the first two occupation numbers $(n_1, n_2)$ in terms of the two SU(3) charges $(q_1, q_2)$ and rest of the occupation numbers. The solutions are:

$$\begin{aligned} n_2 &= n_3 + \frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1) + 2(m_2 - m_3) \\ n_1 &= n_3 + q_1 + \frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1) + (m_1 - m_2) + 2(m_2 - m_3) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

By defining $q_0 \equiv 0$, the solutions in (48) can be written in a compact form:

$$n_i = n_3 + l_i + \sum_{a=i}^{2} a\ (m_a - m_{a+1}), \quad i = 1,2. \tag{49}$$

In (49), $l_i \equiv \sum_{a=i}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{a}(q_a - q_{a-1}\right)$. The above solution for SU(3) group is written in a form which can be generalized to SU(N) group (see section 4 ). The equations (45) and (46) imply:

$$|\vec{z}, \vec{w} >_{(q_1,q_2)} = \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} B^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z}, \vec{w})|^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\rangle \tag{50}$$

where,

$$B^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z}, \vec{w}) \equiv \frac{z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} z_3^{n_3} w_1^{m_1} w_2^{m_2} w_3^{m_3}}{\Big[n_1! n_2! n_3! m_1! m_2! m_3!\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{51}$$

In (51), the occupation numbers $n_1$ and $n_2$ are given in terms of the two charges $q_1$, $q_2$ and the rest of the occupation numbers by (48). We further note that the coherent states defined in (50) are infact eigenstates of CSCO, i.e,:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_a|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= q_a|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}, & a = 1,2 \\ a_1 a_2 a_3|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= z_1 z_2 z_3|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}, & \\ b_1 b_2 b_3|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= w_1 w_2 w_3|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} & \\ a_i b_i|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)} &= z_i w_i|\vec{z}, \vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}, & for\ each\ i\ (= 1,2,3) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The above eigenvalue equations are the SU(3) analogs of the corresponding SU(2) equations in (23). The coherent states in (50) can also be written as[5]:

$$|\vec{z}, \vec{w} >_{(q_1,q_2)} = exp\ \Big[\frac{z_1 z_2 z_3}{\hat{N}_1 \hat{N}_2}\ a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger + \frac{w_1 w_2 w_3}{M_1 M_2} b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger\Big] \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2=0}^{\infty} C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}|^{l_1+\nu_1\quad l_2+\nu_2\quad 0}_{\nu_1\quad\quad \nu_2\quad\quad 0}\rangle \tag{53}$$

---

[5] The proof is given in the next section.

In (53):

$$C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0} \equiv \frac{z_1^{l_1+\nu_1} z_2^{l_2+\nu_2} w_1^{\nu_1} w_2^{\nu_2}}{\sqrt{(l_1+\nu_1)!(l_2+\nu_2)!\nu_1!\nu_2!}} \tag{54}$$

Further, the two summations in (53) can be performed to get the final compact exponential form (see section 3.1 ):

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(q_1,q_2)} = \frac{{z_1}^{l_1}}{\sqrt{l_1!}}\frac{{z_2}^{l_2}}{\sqrt{l_2!}} exp\ \left[z_1z_2z_3\frac{1}{\hat{N}_1\hat{N}_2}a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_3^\dagger + w_1w_2w_3\frac{1}{\hat{M}_1\hat{M}_2}b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger\right] \\ exp\ \left[z_1w_1\frac{1}{\hat{N}_1}a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger + z_2w_2\frac{1}{\hat{N}_2}a_2^\dagger b_2^\dagger\right]\Big|{}^{l_1}_{0}\ {}^{l_2}_{0}\ {}^{0}_{0}\Big\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The proof for the resolution of identity for the fixed charge SU(3) coherent states (53) is similar to that for the case of SU(2). We start with a direct product of 6 Heisenberg-Weyl coherent states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{HW} &\equiv \exp\ (\vec{z}\cdot\vec{a}^\dagger\ +\ \vec{w}\cdot\vec{b}^\dagger)|\vec{0},\vec{0}> \\ &= \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3=0}^{\infty}\ \sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} A^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w})\Big|{}^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\Big\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

In (56),

$$A^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w}) \equiv \frac{z_1^{n_1}z_2^{n_2}z_3^{n_3}w_1^{m_1}w_2^{m_2}w_3^{m_3}}{\Big[n_1!n_2!n_3!m_1!m_2!m_3!\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{57}$$

The coherent states defined by (56) trivially satisfy the resolution of identity:

$$\int d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w})|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{HW\,HW}\langle\vec{z},\vec{w}| \equiv \mathcal{I} \tag{58}$$

where, $d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w}) \equiv \Big[\prod_{i=1}^3\ d^2z_i\ d^2w_i\Big]\ e^{-(|\vec{z}|^2+|\vec{w}|^2)}$. We now expand $\mathcal{I}$ in (58) as:

$$\int d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w})\sum_{\vec{n}=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\vec{m}=0}^{\infty}\ \sum_{\vec{l}=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\vec{p}=0}^{\infty}A^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w})A^{*l_1,\ l_2\ ,\ l_3}_{\ \ p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w})\ \ \Big|{}^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\Big\rangle\Big\langle{}^{l_1,\ l_2,\ l_3}_{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3}\Big|$$

and rearrange the summations by inserting the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q_1}\delta((n_1-n_2)-(m_1-m_2)-q_1) &= 1 \\ \sum_{q_2}\delta((n_1+n_2-2n_3)-(m_1+m_2-2m_3)-q_2) &= 1, \\ \sum_{q_1'}\delta((l_1-l_2)-(p_1-p_2)-{q_1}') &= 1 \\ \sum_{q_2'}\delta((l_1+l_2-2l_3)-(p_1+p_2-2p_3)-{q_2}') &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The identities in (59) are SU(3) analogs of (26) and like in the case of SU(2), the angular integrations lead to:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} &= \sum_{q_1,q_2} \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{m}=0}^{\infty} \int d\mu(r,R) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{(r_i^2)^{n_i}(R_i^2)^{m_i}}{n_i! m_i!} |{}^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\rangle\langle{}^{n_1,\ n_2,\ n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}| \\ &= \sum_{q_1,q_2} \int d\mu(\vec{z},\vec{w}) |\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{(q_1,q_2)}\ {}_{(q_1,q_2)}\langle \vec{z},\vec{w}| \qquad (60)\end{aligned}$$

In (60), $(n_1, n_2)$ are given in terms of charges $(q_1, q_2)$ by equations (48).

### 3.1 Nonlinear Formulation

We define the non-linear SU(3) charge coherent states as the common eigenvectors of the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{N}_1,\hat{N}_2,\hat{N}_3) a_1 a_2 a_3 |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,q_2} &= z_1 z_2 z_3 |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q,q_2} \\ g(\hat{M}_1,\hat{M}_2,\hat{M}_3) b_1 b_2 b_3 |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,q_2} &= w_1 w_2 w_3 |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,q_2} \qquad (61)\end{aligned}$$

The number operators in (61) $(\hat{\vec{N}}, \hat{\vec{M}})$ have been defined in the previous section. We now define

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g >_{(q_1,q_2)} = \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} C^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g) |{}^{n_1,n_2,n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\rangle \qquad (62)$$

In (62) $(n_1, n_2)$ are defined in (48). The equation (61) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g) &= \frac{z_1 z_2 z_3}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2 n_3} f(n_1-1,n_2-1,n_3-1)} C^{n_3-1}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g) \\ &= \frac{w_1 w_2 w_3}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_3} g(m_1-1,m_2-1,m_3-1)} C^{n_3}_{m_1-1,m_2-1,m_3-1}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g) \qquad (63)\end{aligned}$$

Note that:

$$C^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f=1,g=1) = B^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w}) \qquad (64)$$

where $B^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w})$ are defined in equation (51). Iterating equation (63), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g) &= \sqrt{\frac{(n_1-n_3)!(n_2-n_3)!(m_1-m_3)!(m_2-m_3)!}{n_1!n_2!n_3!m_1!m_2!m_3!}} \qquad (65) \\ \prod_{k=1}^{n_3} \frac{z_1\ z_2\ z_3}{f(n_1-k,n_2-k,n_3-k)} &\prod_{l=1}^{m_3} \frac{w_1\ w_2\ w_3}{g(m_1-l,m_2-l,m_3-l)} C^{0}_{m_1-m_3,m_2-m_3,0}(\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g)\end{aligned}$$

The equation (64) implies:

$$C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}(\vec{z},\vec{w},1,1) = C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0} = \frac{z_1^{l_1+\nu_1} z_2^{l_2+\nu_2} w_1^{\nu_1} w_2^{\nu_2}}{\sqrt{(l_1+\nu_1)!(l_2+\nu_2)!\nu_1!\nu_2!}} \qquad (66)$$

In (66) $C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}$ is given by the equation (53). Substituting $C^{n_3}_{m_1,m_2,m_3}$ from (65) into (62) and after some algebra, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g>_{(q_1,q_2)}= & \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2=0}^{\infty} C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0} \sum_{m_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_3!}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{3} w_j b^\dagger_j\Big)^{m_3} \prod_{l=1}^{m_3} \hat{H}_g(M_1+l, M_2+l, M_3+l) \\ & \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_3!}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{3} z_i a^\dagger_i\Big)^{n_3} \prod_{k=1}^{n_3} \hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1+k, \hat{N}_2+k, \hat{N}_3+k) \Big|\begin{matrix} l_1+\nu_1 & l_2+\nu_2 & 0 \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & 0 \end{matrix}\Big\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

In (67), $\nu_1 = m_1 - m_3, \nu_2 = m_2 - m_3$ and the operator $\hat{H}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1,\hat{N}_2,\hat{N}_3) &= \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1\hat{N}_2\ f(\hat{N}_1-1,\hat{N}_2-1,\hat{N}_3-1)} \\ \hat{H}_g(\hat{M}_1,\hat{M}_2,\hat{M}_3) &= \frac{1}{\hat{M}_1\hat{M}_2\ g(\hat{M}_1-1,\hat{M}_2-1,\hat{M}_3-1)}\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Using the operator identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(a^\dagger_1 a^\dagger_2 a^\dagger_3\Big)^{n_3} \prod_{k=1}^{n_3} \hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1+k,\hat{N}_2+k,\hat{N}_3+k) &= \Big[\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1,\hat{N}_2,\hat{N}_3) a^\dagger_1 a^\dagger_2 a^\dagger_3\Big]^{n_3} \\ \Big(b^\dagger_1 b^\dagger_2 b^\dagger_3\Big)^{m_3} \prod_{l=1}^{m_3} \hat{H}_g(\hat{M}_1+l,\hat{M}_2+l,\hat{M}_3+l) &= \Big[\hat{H}_g(\hat{M}_1,\hat{M}_2,\hat{M}_3) b^\dagger_1 b^\dagger_2 b^\dagger_3\Big]^{m_3}\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

in equation (67), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g>_{(q_1,q_2)} &= exp\Big[z_1z_2z_3\hat{H}_f a^\dagger_1 a^\dagger_2 a^\dagger_3 + w_1w_2w_3\hat{H}_g b^\dagger_1 b^\dagger_2 b^\dagger_3\Big] \\ & \qquad \sum_{\nu_1,\nu_2=0}^{\infty} C^0_{\nu_1,\nu_2,0}\ \Big|\begin{matrix} l_1+\nu_1 & l_2+\nu_2 & 0 \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & 0 \end{matrix}\Big\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Note that the additional summations over the indeces $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ in (70), corresponding to the second fundamental representation of SU(3), are absent for the SU(2) case. These two $\nu_i$ summations can also be exponentiated to finally get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g>_{(q_1,q_2)}= & C_0\ exp\Big[z_1z_2z_3\hat{H}_f a^\dagger_1 a^\dagger_2 a^\dagger_3 + w_1w_2w_3\hat{H}_g b^\dagger_1 b^\dagger_2 b^\dagger_3\Big] \\ & exp\Big[z_1w_1\frac{1}{\hat{N}_1}a^\dagger_1 b^\dagger_1 + z_2w_2\frac{1}{\hat{N}_2}a^\dagger_2 b^\dagger_2\Big]\Big|\begin{matrix} l_1 & l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix}\Big\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Comparing (71) with (55) for f=g =1, we get: $C_0 = \frac{{z_1}^{l_1}{z_2}^{l_2}}{\sqrt{l_1 l_2}}$. The coherent states in (71) are the SU(3) analogs of (34) for SU(2).

# 4 Coherent States with SU(N) Charges

In this section we will find that the SU(3) formulation of section 3 can be recast into SU(N) co-variant formulation by some simple substitutions. The SU(N) group is of rank

(N-1) and therefore it has (N-1) fundamental representations [16]. For it's Lie algebra it is convinient to use the Cartan-Weyl basis and generalize the notations of section 3 . The (N-1) mutually commuting generators belonging to the Cartan subspace will be denoted by $H^a$, a=1,2,...,(N-1) and the raising and lowering operators by $E^\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, ..., \frac{(N^2-N)}{2}$. The SU(N) Lie algebra in the Cartan Weyl basis is:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[H^a, H^b\right] &= 0, \\ \left[H^a, E^\alpha\right] &= K^a(\alpha)E^\alpha \\ \left[E^\alpha, E^\beta\right] &= \vec{K}(\alpha).\vec{H} \quad if \quad \alpha = -\beta \\ &= N_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}E^\gamma \quad otherwise. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

In (72), $\vec{K}(\alpha)$ are the $(N-1)$ dimensional root vectors corresponding to the ladder operator $E^\alpha$. $N^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ are constants depending on N and are non-zero only if $\vec{K}(\alpha) + \vec{K}(\beta) = \vec{K}(\gamma)$. The algebra (72) has (N-1) fundamental representations which will be labeled by the index $F$ (= 1,2,....(N-1)) such that the $F^{th}$ representation is of dimension ${}^NC_F$. The generators in this representation will be denoted by $(H^a(F), E^\alpha(F))$. To construct the first fundamental representation (F=1) we define $N^2, N \otimes N$ matrices $e^{ij}$, i,j=1,2,..,N whose matrix elements are given by ${e^{ij}}_{kl} \equiv \delta^i_k \delta^j_l$. The generators belonging to the first fundamental representation can now be written as:

$$H^a(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{a} e^{ii} - a e^{a+1a+1}, \quad E^\alpha(1) = e^{ij}, \quad i > j \tag{73}$$

The higher fundamental representations can be built out of (73). Following the previous section on SU(3), we introduce (N-1) sets of harmonic oscillators creation-annihilation operators $(a_i(F), a^\dagger_i(F)), F = 1, 2, .., N-1; i = 1, 2, ...{}^NC_F$. We now have the Hilbert space characterized by the occupation numbers $n_i(F)$ corresponding to each of these oscillators. At this stage, it is convenient to think of $a_i(F)$ and $n_i(F)$ as the $i^{th}$ components of ${}^NC_F$ dimensional vectors $\vec{a}(F)$ and $\vec{n}(F)$ respectively. An arbitrary occupation numbers state can now be denoted by $|\vec{n}(1), ...., \vec{n}(N-1))\rangle$ where $\vec{n}(F)$ is a set containing ${}^NC_F$ integers $n_i(F), (i = 1, 2, ...{}^NC_F)$. We define the SU(N) charge operators as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_a &\equiv \sum_{F=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{{}^NC_F} a^\dagger_i(F)(H^a(F))_{ij} a_j(F) \\ \mathcal{E}^{ij}|_{i\neq j} &\equiv \sum_{F=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k,l=1}^{{}^NC_F} a^\dagger_k(F)(E^{ij}(F))_{kl} a_l(F) \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

It is easy to check that the operators defined in (74) satisfy the SU(N) Lie algebra (72). Just like in the case of SU(2) and SU(3), the (N - 1) Casimirs of SU(N) are simply the (N - 1) types of number operators, i.e,:

$$\mathcal{C}(F) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{{}^NC_F} a^\dagger_i(F) a_i(F), \qquad F = 1, 2, .., (N-1). \tag{75}$$

We now define (N-1) complex vectors[6] $\vec{z}(F)$ of dimensions ${}^{N}C_{F}$ respectively. The SU(N) coherent states which are eigenstates of the (N-1) Casimirs are defined as [9]:

$$|\vec{z}(1),...,\vec{z}(N-1)>_{(c_1,..,c_{N-1})} \equiv \Big[\prod_{F=1}^{N-1}\delta_{(\mathcal{C}[F],c_F)}\Big] \text{ exp } \sum_{F=1}^{N-1} (\vec{z}(F)\cdot\vec{a}^{\dagger}(F))|\vec{0},...,\vec{0}> \quad (76)$$

In (76), the (N - 1) $\delta$ function constraints imply that the states on the left satisfy:

$$\mathcal{C}[F]|\vec{z}(1),..,\vec{z}(N-1)\rangle_{(c_1,..,c_{N-1})} = c_F\ |\vec{z}(1),..,\vec{z}(N-1)\rangle_{(c_1,..,c_{N-1})}. \quad (77)$$

The coherent states in (76) are defined on the SU(N) manifold [9]. On the other hand, the fixed SU(N) charge coherent states are given by:

$$|\vec{z}(1),..,\vec{z}(N-1)\rangle_{q_1,...q_{N-1}} \equiv \Big[\prod_{a=1}^{(N-1)}\delta_{[\mathcal{Q}_a,\ q_a]}\Big]\ exp\sum_{F=1}^{N-1}\vec{z}(F).\vec{a}^{\dagger}(F)|\vec{0},...,\vec{0}\rangle \quad (78)$$

Again, (78) is a generalization of (22) and (45). The coherent states (78) are defined on the complex manifold $(C)^{2[2^{(N-1)}-1]}$. At this stage, for the sake of simplicity, we consider only F=1 and F = (N - 1) representations (i.e, $\vec{n}(F)=0$ for F = 2, 3,...(N-2)). They are both N dimensional and conjugate to each other. In this simple case, we further denote $\vec{n}(F=1)\equiv\vec{n}$ and $\vec{n}(F=N-1)\equiv\vec{m}$. The (N - 1) $\delta$ function constraints in (78) demand that the occupation number be the the eigenvectors of the (N - 1) charge operators $\mathcal{Q}^a$ given in (74):

$$\mathcal{Q}_a\ \left|{}^{n_1,\ n_2,...,n_N}_{m_1,m_2,...,m_N}\right\rangle = q_a\ \left|{}^{n_1,\ n_2,...,n_N}_{m_1,m_2,...,m_N}\right\rangle, \quad F=1,2,...,(N-1). \quad (79)$$

The (N - 1) equations in (79) can be easily solved for the first (N - 1) occupation numbers $(n_1,n_2,...,n_{(N-1)})$ in terms of the (N - 1) SU(N) charges $(q_1,q_2,...q_{(N-1)})$.

$$n_i = n_N + l_i + \sum_{a=i}^{N-1} a(m_a - m_{a+1}), \quad i=1,2,..,N-1. \quad (80)$$

where $l_i \equiv \sum_{a=i}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{a}(q_a-q_{a-1}\right)$. The equation (80) is the SU(N) generalized form of the equation (49) for SU(3). We can now directly write down the SU(N) analog of (53):

$$|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(q_1,..,q_{N-1})} = exp\Big[\frac{z_1..z_N}{N_1..N_{N-1}}\ a_1^{\dagger}..a_N^{\dagger} + \frac{w_1..w_N}{M_1..M_{N-1}}b_1^{\dagger}..b_N^{\dagger}\Big]\sum_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1}=0}^{\infty} C^{0}_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1},0}$$
$$\left|\begin{array}{cccc} (l_1+\nu_1) & (l_2+\nu_2)....(l_{N-1}+\nu_{N-1}) & & 0 \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2\quad .... \quad \nu_{N-1} & & 0 \end{array}\right\rangle \quad (81)$$

In (81),

$$C^{0}_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1},0} \equiv \frac{z_1^{l_1+\nu_1}...z_{N-1}^{l_{N-1}+\nu_{N-1}}\ w_1^{\nu_1}...w_{N-1}^{\nu_{N-1}}}{\sqrt{(l_1+\nu_1)!...(l_{N-1}+\nu_{N-1})!\nu_1!...\nu_{N-1}!}} \quad (82)$$

---

[6] Note that for N=3, we get the results of section 2 with $\vec{z}(1)\equiv\vec{z}$ and $\vec{z}(2)\equiv\vec{w}$.

Like in the SU(3) case, the compact exponential form is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{(q_1,..,q_{N-1})} = C_0 exp\Big[z_1..z_{N-1}\ \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1..\hat{N}_{N-1}} a^{\dagger}_1..a^{\dagger}_{N-1} + w_1..w_{N-1}\frac{1}{\hat{M}_1..\hat{M}_{N-1}} b^{\dagger}_1..b^{\dagger}_{N-1}\Big] \\
exp\ \Big[z_1 w_1 \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1} a^{\dagger}_1 b^{\dagger}_1 .. + z_{N-1} w_{N-1} \frac{1}{\hat{N}_{N-1}} a^{\dagger}_{N-1} b^{\dagger}_{N-1}\Big] \Big|\begin{smallmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & ....l_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ....0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\Big\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

where,

$$
C_0 \equiv \frac{(z_1)^{l_1}}{\sqrt{l_1!}} ..... \frac{(z_{N-1})^{l_{N-1}}}{\sqrt{l_{N-1}!}} \tag{84}
$$

The coherent states with SU(N) charges in (81) satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^a\ \ |\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}} &= q_a\ \ |\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}}, a = 1,2...,N-1 \\
a_i b_i |\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}} &= z_i w_i |\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}}\ \ i = 1,2,...,N \\
\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N} a_i\Big)|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}} &= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N} z_i\Big)|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}} \\
\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N} b_i\Big)|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}} &= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N} w_i\Big)|\vec{z},\vec{w}\rangle_{q_1,q_2,...q_{N-1}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{85}
$$

The equations in (85) are the SU(N) analog of (52) for SU(3).

## 4.1 Nonlinear Formulation

As in the case of SU(3), we now define the non-linear SU(N) coherent states by generalizing (61). We now have (N - 1) conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big[f_F(\hat{N}_1,...,\hat{N}_N) \prod_{i=1}^{{}^N C_F} a_i(F)\Big]\ |\vec{z}(1)..,\vec{z}(N-1); f_1,..,f_F > \\
&\qquad \equiv \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{{}^N C_F}\ z_i(F)\Big]\ |\vec{z}(1)..,\vec{z}(N-1); f_1,..,f_F >, \quad F = 1,2..,N-1
\end{aligned}
\tag{86}
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we again restrict ourselves to only F = 1 and F = (N - 1) fundamental representations. Denoting $f_{F=1}$ and $f_{F=N-1}$ by f and g respectively, the equations in (86) reduce to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\hat{N}_1,...,\hat{N}_N) a_1..a_N |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}} &= z_1...z_N |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}} \\
g(\hat{M}_1,...,\hat{M}_N) b_1..b_N |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}} &= w_1...w_N |\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{87}
$$

Again, the construction of $|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g\rangle_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}}$ is similar to that of section 3 for SU(3). We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_f(\hat{N}_1,\hat{N}_2,...,\hat{N}_{N-1}) &\equiv \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1\hat{N}_2..\hat{N}_{N-1}\ f(\hat{N}_1-1,\hat{N}_2-1,...,\hat{N}_{N-1}-1)} \\
\hat{H}_g(\hat{M}_1,\hat{M}_2,...,\hat{M}_{N-1}) &\equiv \frac{1}{\hat{M}_1\hat{M}_2..\hat{M}_{N-1}\ g(\hat{M}_1-1,\hat{M}_2-1,...,\hat{M}_{N-1}-1)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{88}
$$

Like in the SU(2) and SU(3) cases we now get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\vec{z},\vec{w},f,g>_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}} &= exp\left[z_1..z_N\ \hat{H}_f\ a_1^\dagger..a_N^\dagger + w_1..w_N\ \hat{H}_g\ b_1^\dagger..b_N^\dagger\right] \\
&\qquad \sum_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1}=0}^{\infty} C^0_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1},0}\ \Big|^{(l_1+\nu_1)\ \ (l_2+\nu_2)....(l_{N-1}+\nu_{N-1})\ \ 0}_{\nu_1\qquad\ \ \nu_2\quad ....\ \ \nu_{N-1}\qquad 0}\Big\rangle \\
&= C_0\ exp\left[z_1..z_N\ \hat{H}_f\ a_1^\dagger..a_N^\dagger + w_1..w_N\ \hat{H}_g\ b_1^\dagger..b_N^\dagger\right] \\
exp\left[z_1 w_1 \frac{1}{\hat{N}_1} a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger + ... + z_{N-1} w_{N-1} \frac{1}{\hat{N}_{N-1}} a_{N-1}^\dagger b_{N-1}^\dagger\right] & \Big|^{l_1\quad l_2\quad ....l_{N-1}\quad 0}_{0\quad\ 0\quad\ ....0\qquad\ 0}\Big\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{89}
$$

In (89) $C^0_{\nu_1,..,\nu_{N-1},0}$ and $C_0$ are given by (82) and (84) respectively. We also note that $|\vec{z},\vec{w},f=1,g=1>_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}} \equiv |\vec{z},\vec{w}>_{q_1,..,q_{N-1}}$. All the explicit constructions in this section can be generalized to include the other SU(N) fundamental representations.

# 5 Summary and Discussion

In this paper we have exploited the representation of $SU(N)$ Lie algebra in terms of harmonic oscillator creation and annihilation operators to construct SU(N) coherent states with fixed (N - 1) charges belonging to the SU(N) Cartan subspace . These fixed charge coherent states are obtained by simply modifying the constraints for the corresponding generalized coherent states which are defined over different possible irreducible representations of the group. Further, it is easy to generalize this Schwinger boson construction to define non-linear SU(N) coherent states. We should also mention that the techniques described in this work using harmonic oscillators are extremely simple compared to the standard method. This is because the standard definition of SU(N) coherent states belonging to a representation R of SU(N) is:

$$
|g>_R \equiv T_R(g)|\mu>_R \tag{90}
$$

where g is an SU(N) group element characterized by $(N^2-1)$ compact parameters, $T_R(g)$ is the corresponding matrix and $|\mu>_R$ is an arbitrary weight vector in the representation R respectively. Therefore, to define $|g>_R$, we not only need to know the group manifold with the Haar measure, we also need to know all the representations of SU(N). Further, for bigger SU(N) groups and their higher representations it becomes extremely difficult to analyze (90) because of large matrix structures and too many parameters describing the group manifolds. This should be contrasted with (15), (40) and (76) where all these inputs are part of the formulation itself. Infact, this leads to enormous simplifications for $N \geq 3$. We,therefore, expect that generalization of our techniques to an arbitrary Lie group will be convenient and useful. The work in this direction is in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

**Acknowledgment**

One of the authors (MM) thanks Prof. H. S. Mani for reading the manuscipt carefully.

# References

[1] E. Schrodinger, Naturwissenshafter, **14**, 644, (1926).

[2] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev., **131**, 2766, (1963).

[3] E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Letts., **10**, 277, (1963).

[4] J. R. Klauder and B.-S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).

[5] J. Schwinger, Atomic Energy Commission Report No. NYO-3071 (1952) or D. Mattis, *The Theory of Magnetism* (Harper and Row, 1982).

[6] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986); A. Perelomov, Sov. Phys. Usp. **20** (1977) 703.

[7] D. Bhaumik, K. Bhaumik, B. Dutta Roy, J. Phys. A **9** (1976) 1507.

[8] Manu Mathur, Diptiman Sen, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 4181.

[9] Manu Mathur, H. S. Mani, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 5351.

[10] de Matos, R. L. Filho, W. Vogel 1996 Phys. Rev. A **54** 4560.

[11] V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, F. Zaccaria, E.C.G. Sudarshan, 1996 Proc. $4^{th}$ Wigner Symp. Ed. N. Atakishiyev et. al. (Singapore, World Scientific)

[12] S. Sivakumar, J. Phys. A **33** (2000) 2289.

[13] G. S. Agarwal, K. Tara, 1991 Phys. Rev. A **43** 492.

[14] Hongyi Fan , Guichuan Yu, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 5995.

[15] J. M. Radcliffe, J. Phys. A **4** (1971) 313.

[16] H. Georgi, *Lie Algebras in Particle Physics* (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 1982).